

Evolution of the Blue Luminosity-to-Baryon Mass Ratio of Clusters of Galaxies

Kazuhiro SHIMASAKU

Department of Astronomy, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113
Research Center for the Early Universe, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113
E-mail: shimasaku@astron.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

(Received 1999 September 1; accepted 1999 September 1)

Abstract

We derive the ratio of total blue luminosity to total baryon mass, L_B/M_b , for massive (M_{gas} at the Abell radius is $\geq 1 \times 10^{13} h^{-2.5} M_\odot$) clusters of galaxies up to $z \simeq 1$ from the literature. Twenty-two clusters in our sample are at $z > 0.1$. Assuming that the relative mix of hot gas and galaxies in clusters does not change during cluster evolution, we use L_B/M_b to probe the star formation history of the galaxy population as a whole in clusters. We find that L_B/M_b of clusters increases with redshift from $L_B/M_b = 0.024(L_B/M)_\odot$ at $z = 0$ to $\simeq 0.06(L_B/M)_\odot$ at $z = 1$, indicating a factor of 2–3 brightening (we assume $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$). This amount of brightening is almost identical to the brightening of the M/L_B ratio of early-type galaxies in clusters at $0.02 \leq z \leq 0.83$ reported by van Dokkum et al. (1998). We compare the observed brightening of L_B/M_b with luminosity evolution models for the galaxy population as a whole, changing the e -folding time of star formation τ by $0.1 \leq \tau \leq 5 \text{ Gyr}$ and the formation redshift z_F by $2 \leq z_F < \infty$. We find that $\tau = 0.1 \text{ Gyr}$ ‘single burst’ models with $z_F \geq 3$ and $\tau = 5 \text{ Gyr}$ ‘disk’ models with arbitrary z_F are consistent with the observed brightening, while models with $\tau = 1 - 2 \text{ Gyr}$ tend to predict too steep brightening. We also derive the ratio of blue luminosity density to baryon density for field galaxies, adopting $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.02$, and find that blue luminosity per unit baryon is similar in clusters and in fields up to $z \simeq 1$ within the observational uncertainties.

Key words: Galaxies: clusters of — Galaxies: photometry — Galaxies: X-rays

1. Introduction

Clusters of galaxies are suitable objects for studying the evolution of galaxies in the dense environments. Recent observations based on large telescopes including Hubble Space Telescope (*HST*) have been revealing the morphology-dependent evolution of galaxies in clusters up to $z \sim 1$. The evolution of elliptical and S0 galaxies has been found to be reproduced well by the so-called single burst model (e.g., Schade, Barrientos, López-Cruz 1997; Ellis et al. 1997; Kodama et al. 1998; van Dokkum et al. 1998). Schade et al. (1996) found that spiral galaxies in clusters brighten by $\sim 1 \text{ mag}$ with redshift up to $z \simeq 0.5$, and that this brightening is similar to that of field spiral galaxies in the same redshift range (see, however, Vogt et al. 1997 and Lilly et al. 1998 for the evolution of field spiral galaxies). Morphological studies based on *HST* imaging suggest that a transition from spiral galaxies to S0 galaxies may have occurred in clusters since $z \sim 0.5$ (Dressler et al. 1997). An attempt of measuring the star formation rate of individual galaxies has also started (e.g., Balogh et al. 1998; Poggianti et al. 1999).

In this paper, we study the global (or average) star formation history of the galaxy population as a whole in clusters of galaxies. To do so, we derive the ratio of total blue luminosity (L_B) to total baryon mass (M_b) for clusters of galaxies up to $z \simeq 1$. The quantity L_B/M_b , including its evolution, should reflect when (and what fraction of) baryons (= primordial gas) in clusters are converted into stars. Similar studies have been done for field galaxies. The global luminosity density $l [L_\odot \text{ Mpc}^{-3}]$ in various wavelengths has been measured by many workers on the basis of observations of field galaxies (e.g., Lilly et al. 1996; Madau, Pozzetti, Dickinson 1998). If the density parameter of baryons (Ω_b) is given, one can compute from l the mean luminosity per unit baryon mass in fields (l/ρ_b). We will derive B -band l/ρ_b in fields up to $z \simeq 1$ and compare it with L_B/M_b of clusters.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we present the data of nearby and distant clusters used to derive L_B/M_b . We compare L_B/M_b with predictions of simple luminosity evolution models in section 3. A comparison with l/ρ_b in fields is also given in section 3. We summarize our conclusions in section 4.

We adopt $h = 0.7$, $\Omega_0 = 0.2$, and $\lambda_0 = 0$ throughout

this paper unless otherwise stated, where h is the Hubble constant in units of $100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, Ω_0 is the density parameter, and λ_0 is the cosmological constant. Under this assumption, the present age of the universe is 11.8 Gyr. The value $h = 0.7$ is taken from recent determinations of H_0 (e.g., Freedman 1999). To adopt different values for Ω_0 and λ_0 in the observationally reasonable ranges of $0.2 \leq \Omega_0 \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda_0 \leq 0.8$ does not significantly change our results.

2. Data

We divide clusters of galaxies into nearby clusters ($z \leq 0.1$) and distant clusters ($z > 0.1$). We assume that evolutionary effects are negligible for $z \leq 0.1$ clusters and regard their properties as those of the present-day clusters.

We adopt the B band to measure the luminosity of galaxies, and think that it is the best compromise. Cluster luminosities have been measured mainly in optical bandpasses such as B , V , or R . Among the optical bandpasses, B is most sensitive to the luminosity evolution of galaxies. Though ultraviolet wavelengths such as U are much better for measuring star formation, data in such wavelengths are very few. As for field galaxies, there are a lot of measurements of luminosity density of field galaxies in the B band, which enables us to compare L_B/M_b with l_B/ρ_b .

2.1. Nearby Clusters

We use the sample of nearby clusters given in Arnaud et al. (1992) to derive L_B/M_b of the present-day clusters. Arnaud et al. (1992) compiled a sample of 27 clusters of galaxies, where total V -band luminosity (L_V), morphological type mix of galaxies (E, S0, and S), and gas mass within a radius of $1.5h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$ (the Abell radius) are given. Morphological type mix is available for 18 clusters.

Arnaud et al. (1992) found in their clusters a strong dependence of L_V/M_{gas} on L_V : $L_V/M_{\text{gas}} \propto L_V^{-0.9}$. If such a strong dependence holds in the whole mass range of clusters, it would very much complicate a comparison of L_B/M_b among clusters having different masses. Thus, we first examine for what clusters such a strong dependence exists. Figure 1 plots L_V against M_{gas} for all the clusters in Arnaud et al. (1992). The dependence found in Arnaud et al. (1992) is shown as the dashed line. The solid line, on the other hand, is a regression line between L_V and M_{gas} for $M_{\text{gas}} \geq 1 \times 10^{13} h^{-2.5} M_\odot$ clusters, $L_V \propto M_{\text{gas}}^{0.8}$. This is close to a linear regression, i.e., a constant L_V/M_{gas} , indicated as the dotted line. Thus, the strong dependence of L_V/M_{gas} on L_V (or equivalently on M_{gas}) found by Arnaud et al. (1992) is probably due to the inclusion of less massive clusters.

Figure 2 shows L_V/M_{gas} as a function of the fraction of luminosity emitted from elliptical and S0 galaxies to the total luminosity for 18 clusters with type mix data. It is found that L_V/M_{gas} is constant for $M_{\text{gas}} \geq 1 \times 10^{13} h^{-2.5} M_\odot$ clusters irrespective of type mix. This implies that L_V/M_{gas} is not sensitive to the change in the populations of galaxies for massive clusters.

Figures 1 and 2 demonstrate that the dependence of L_V/M_{gas} on L_V (or on M_{gas}) is much weak for massive clusters. This is also supported by Renzini (1997) who found that rich clusters have a fairly constant M_{gas} to B -band luminosity ratio. The reason why poor clusters have a relatively higher L_V/M_{gas} value is not clear, but a possible explanation is that a significant fraction of hot gas in poor clusters has escaped from the clusters during cluster evolution owing to their shallow gravitational potentials, resulting in a higher L_V/M_{gas} value (e.g., Renzini 1997).

In any case, in what follows we assume that L_B/M_b is constant for $M_{\text{gas}} \geq 1 \times 10^{13} h^{-2.5} M_\odot$ clusters and use them to derive the average L_B/M_b of nearby clusters. We will see in the next subsection that all the distant clusters adopted in this paper have $M_{\text{gas}} \geq 1 \times 10^{13} h^{-2.5} M_\odot$. This promises a fair comparison of L_B/M_b between nearby and distant clusters.

For Arnaud et al.'s (1992) clusters which have morphological type mix, we compute total B -band luminosity (L_B) from L_V using $B-V = 0.96$ (E), 0.85 (S0), and 0.68 (S) (see Fukugita, Shimasaku, Ichikawa 1995). For clusters without type mix data, we adopt $B-V = 0.85 \pm 0.2$ as the average color of galaxies. We compute baryon mass M_b from gas mass M_{gas} using:

$$M_b = M_{\text{gas}} + (M/L_B)_* L_B, \quad (1)$$

where $(M/L_B)_*$ is the mean mass-to-luminosity ratio of the stellar population in galaxies. We neglect atomic and molecular gas in galaxies. We adopt $(M/L_B)_* = (6 \pm 3)h(M/L_B)_\odot$, which roughly covers the mass-to-luminosity ratio of elliptical galaxies (van der Marel 1991; Pizzella et al. 1997) and of spiral disks (Bahcall 1984; Broeils, Couteau 1997). The errors in L_B/M_b contain (i) errors in L_V which are given in Arnaud et al. (1992), (ii) errors in the mean $B-V$ (only for clusters without type mix data), and (iii) errors in $(M/L_B)_*$ in equation (1). Since most of the baryons in clusters are in form of hot gas, the error in M_b due to (iii) is only about 5 %.

Figure 3 presents L_B/M_b as a function of M_{gas} . The filled and open circles indicate Arnaud et al.'s (1992) clusters with and without type mix data, respectively. As seen in figure 1, a clear trend is seen in figure 3 that clusters with $M_{\text{gas}} \lesssim 1 \times 10^{13} h^{-2.5} M_\odot$ have systematically higher L_B/M_b . To derive L_B/M_b of nearby clusters, we not only remove clusters with $M_{\text{gas}} < 1 \times 10^{13} h^{-2.5} M_\odot$ but also remove clusters without type mix data because

the uncertainties in L_B of these clusters are on the average larger than those for clusters having type mix (To include the clusters without type mix data hardly changes the result, though).

Twelve out of the 21 clusters with $M_{\text{gas}} \geq 1 \times 10^{13} h^{-2.5} M_\odot$ have type mix data, and their mean L_B/M_b for $h = 0.7$ is

$$L_B/M_b = (0.024 \pm 0.004)(L_B/M)_\odot, \quad (2)$$

which we regard as the representative value for the present-day clusters. The contribution from elliptical and S0 galaxies to total B luminosity is on the average $(69 \pm 13)\%$ for the 12 clusters, implying that these clusters are dominated by early-type galaxies (See figure 2). Clusters which have $M_{\text{gas}} < 1 \times 10^{13} h^{-2.5} M_\odot$ tend to be less dominated by early-type galaxies. For example, the Virgo cluster, which has $M_{\text{gas}} = 0.44 \times 10^{13} h^{-2.5} M_\odot$, has $L_B(\text{E} + \text{S0})/L_B(\text{tot}) = 45\%$.

For the 12 clusters, we estimate the ratio of stellar mass to baryon mass to be $M_\star/M_b = 0.10 \pm 0.05$ ($h = 0.7$), using $(M/L_B)_\star = (6 \pm 3)h(M/L_B)_\odot$. This means that only $\sim 10\%$ of baryons have been used to form stars to date in rich clusters.

2.2. Distant Clusters

Searching for total luminosity and gas mass data of distant clusters in the literature, we take 22 clusters, among which thirteen are from the CNOC cluster sample (Carlberg et al. 1996; Lewis et al. 1999). We do not apply any selection criterion to compile our sample. Data of these clusters are given in table 1. All the clusters have rest-frame luminosity (either B , V , or r band) and gas mass measurements. For a cluster whose rest-frame luminosity is in the V or r band, we use an observed or assumed color to convert the luminosity to the rest-frame B -band luminosity.

When computing M_b from M_{gas} by $M_b = M_{\text{gas}} + M_\star$, we use $M_\star/M_b = 0.10$ ($h = 0.7$) which is the value for the nearby clusters. Distant clusters may have lower $M_\star/M_b$ values than the nearby clusters, but the uncertainties in L_B/M_b due to this effect are at most $\simeq 10\%$, which is negligible for our discussion. Below are the references to the nine clusters and the CNOC clusters.

(i) Abell 1413 ($z = 0.14$) and Abell 1689 ($z = 0.18$)

These two clusters are taken from Cirimele, Nesci, Trèvese's (1997) sample. This sample consists of 12 clusters with $z < 0.2$ for which M_{gas} and L_V within a radius of $0.75h^{-1}$ Mpc are given. We calculate L_B assuming rest-frame $B - V = 0.85 \pm 0.2$.

(ii) Abell 2218 ($z = 0.18$)

We adopt M_{gas} and L_B from Squires et al. (1996).

(iii) Abell 2163 ($z = 0.20$)

M_{gas} and L_V are given in Squires et al. (1997). L_B is computed assuming rest-frame $B - V = 0.8 \pm 0.2$.

(iv) CL0500-24 ($z = 0.32$)

M_{gas} is taken from Schindler and Wambsganss (1997). L_V is given in Infante et al. (1994), and L_B is computed using rest-frame $B - V = 0.85$ (The mean apparent color of this cluster, $V - I = 1.8$, reported by Infante et al. 1994 corresponds to $B - V = 0.85$ in the rest frame).

(v) CL0939+47 ($z = 0.41$)

Schindler et al. (1998) found two substructures in this cluster, implying that this cluster has not been virialized yet. M_{gas} and L_B adopted here are the sum of the values for the two substructures given in Schindler et al. (1998). Dressler et al. (1997) reported the fraction in number of elliptical and S0 galaxies to be 55%.

(vi) RXJ1347.5-1145 ($z = 0.45$)

M_{gas} is taken from Sahu et al. (1998) and L_B is computed from M_{tot} and M_{tot}/L_B given in Fischer and Tyson (1997), where M_{tot} is the total mass of a cluster.

(vii) CL0016+16 ($z = 0.55$)

M_{gas} is taken from Neumann and Böhringer (1997) and L_B is computed from the r -band total luminosity given in Carlberg et al. (1996) using rest-frame $B - r = 0.97$, which corresponds to the observed $g - r$ color of 1.455 (Carlberg et al. 1996). Dressler et al. (1997) reported the fraction in number of elliptical and S0 galaxies to be 73%.

(viii) AXJ2019+1127 ($z = 1.01$)

M_{gas} is taken from Hattori et al. (1997), and L_B is computed from L_V (Benitez et al. 1998) assuming rest-frame $B - V = 0.7 \pm 0.3$, which roughly covers the expected colors of elliptical and spiral galaxies at $z = 1$.

CNOC Clusters

Carlberg et al. (1996) give rest-frame r -band luminosity at the virial radius for 16 clusters at $0.17 < z < 0.55$. Out of them, 14 clusters have gas mass measurements (figure 4 of Lewis et al. 1999). Since the maximum radius at which gas mass is plotted, $r = 600h^{-1}$ kpc for all the clusters, is smaller than the virial radii, we derive for each cluster the r -band luminosity at $600h^{-1}$ kpc from the value at the virial radius assuming that luminosity is proportional to radius. The ratio of $600h^{-1}$ kpc to the virial radii of 14 clusters is on the average 0.48, implying that a large factor of conversion is necessary. For each cluster, we then transform the rest-frame r -band luminosity into the rest-frame B -band luminosity on the basis of the observed $g - r$ color given in figure 5 of Carlberg et al. (1996). CL0016+16 is among the 14 clusters. As seen in (vii), we have adopted for gas mass of this cluster the measurement given in Neumann and Böhringer (1997) because their value is at $r = 1.67h^{-1}$ Mpc, which is very close to the virial radius where r -band luminosity

is measured. The number of the CNOC clusters adopted here is thus 13.

The radii used for measuring L_B/M_b differ among the clusters and most of them are smaller than the Abell radius (See table 1). Unfortunately, it is not clear whether L_B/M_b measured at these small radii represent global values, i.e., values at the Abell radius, though there is a study that the L_B/M_b of the Coma cluster is nearly constant between a radius of $\simeq 0.4h^{-1}$ Mpc and the Abell radius (Taguchi et al. 1999). In this paper, we assume that the values of L_B/M_b derived here represent the global values of individual clusters (For CL0939+47, see, however, the next section).

Figure 4 plots L_B against M_{gas} for the 12 nearby clusters and the 22 distant clusters. Both L_B and M_{gas} are values at $r = 1.5h^{-1}$ Mpc, which are derived from raw values on the assumption that $L_B(r)$ and $M_{\text{gas}}(r)$ are proportional to radius r . The thick solid line indicates the best fit of a linear law, $L_B \propto M_{\text{gas}}$, to the nearby clusters. The thin solid line and the dotted line correspond to a similar fit to distant clusters at $0.1 < z \leq 0.4$ and $0.4 < z \leq 0.7$, respectively. It is found that the average B luminosity at a given gas mass increases with redshift. This should reflect some evolution of L_B/M_{gas} . Note that the range of gas mass is similar between the nearby and distant clusters: there is no distant cluster in our sample whose gas mass at $r = 1.5h^{-1}$ Mpc is less than $1 \times 10^{13}h^{-2.5}M_\odot$. Note also that no clear dependence of L_B/M_{gas} on M_{gas} is seen either in the nearby cluster sample or in the distant cluster sample.

We mention here the effects of changing Ω_0 and λ_0 on estimates of L_B/M_b for distant clusters. Let $d_L(z)$ and $d_A(z)$ be the luminosity distance and the angular diameter distance to a cluster at z , respectively. The ratio L_B/M_{gas} is proportional to $d_L^{-0.5}(z)$, because of $L_B \propto d_L^2(z)$, $M_{\text{gas}} \propto d_A^{2.5}(z)$, and $d_L(z) = (1+z)^2 d_A(z)$. Thus, L_B/M_{gas} depends on Ω_0 and λ_0 through $d_L^{-0.5}(z)$. Since M_b is dominated by M_{gas} , the dependence of L_B/M_b on Ω_0 and λ_0 is very close to that of L_B/M_{gas} . Figure 5 shows the ratio of $L_B/M_{\text{gas}}(\Omega_0, \lambda_0)$ to $L_B/M_{\text{gas}}(\Omega_0 = 0.2, \lambda_0 = 0)$ as a function of redshift for two sets of (Ω_0, λ_0) . Since $d_L(z)$ is a decreasing function of Ω_0 and an increasing function of λ_0 up to at least $z = 1$, we find from this figure that the change in L_B/M_{gas} due to the change in Ω_0 and λ_0 in the ranges of $0.2 \leq \Omega_0 \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda_0 \leq 0.8$ is less than $\pm 10\%$ for $z < 1$ clusters, which is negligible for our discussion below.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 6 shows L_B/M_b of clusters as a function of redshift. The filled circles present the distant clusters and the filled square indicates the average L_B/M_b of the nearby clusters. Clusters without errors (but for

CL0939+47 and CL0016+16) are the CNOC clusters. Lines indicate model predictions, which will be discussed in the next subsection. It is found that L_B/M_b increases with z , from $L_B/M_b = 0.024(L_B/M)_\odot$ at $z = 0$ to $\simeq 0.06(L_B/M)_\odot$ at $z = 1$, though the error in each distant cluster is fairly large. CL0939+47 deviates largely from this trend. We suspect, however, that the observed L_B/M_b of this cluster does not represent the real, global value, because the observed L_B/M_b is the value for two substructures whose radii are only $r = 0.14h^{-1}$ Mpc. The CNOC clusters seem to have a larger scatter in L_B/M_b . This may reflect uncertainties due to a large factor of the conversion of optical luminosity from the value at the virial radius to that at $r = 600h^{-1}$ kpc.

There are two opposite explanations for the increase in L_B/M_b with redshift. One is the brightening of L_B due to the luminosity evolution of the galaxy population as a whole. Note that galaxy mergings, even if they occur, do not change the total mass of the galaxy population and that star formation which could be triggered by mergings can be treated in the framework of the ‘pure’ luminosity evolution of the galaxy population. The other explanation is that the mass of baryons ($\simeq$ hot gas) per galaxy decreases with redshift. However, this explanation seems to be less plausible, because no significant evolution has been observationally found for the global properties of clusters at $z \lesssim 1$ (e.g., Schindler 1999) (This result is, however, mainly for X-ray properties, and the evolution of the galaxy distribution in clusters is not well known).

In what follows, we take the former explanation as our hypothesis, i.e., we assume that the increase in L_B/M_b found here is due to pure brightening of the galaxy population as a whole. Then the increase found here corresponds to brightening by $\simeq 1$ mag of the galaxy population. In the next subsection, we compare the observed brightening with predictions of simple luminosity evolution models of galaxies.

3.1. Comparison with Luminosity Evolution Models

We characterize the evolution of L_B , the B -band luminosity summed over all galaxies in a cluster, by two parameters: the star formation timescale τ and the formation redshift z_F . In other words, we assume that all galaxies are formed at the same redshift z_F and that the e -folding time of star formation summed over all galaxies is τ (Gyr). We compute B -band luminosity using the population synthesis code developed by Kodama and Arimoto (1997). The values of τ and z_F examined here are $\tau = 0.1, 1, 2, 3$, and 5 Gyr and $z_F = 2, 3$, and ∞ . Models with $\tau = 0.1$ Gyr correspond to elliptical galaxies and models with $\tau = 5$ Gyr are for spiral disks like that of our Galaxy. We do not examine $\tau > 5$ Gyr, since to take $\tau > 5$ Gyr leads to too blue colors at $z = 0$ which are inconsistent with the observed colors of galaxies in nearby

clusters (The mean $B - R_C$ of the Virgo and Coma cluster galaxies is 1.2 and 1.8, respectively [Andreon 1996 for Coma and Young and Currie 1998 for Virgo], while the models with $\tau = 0.1$ and $\tau = 5$ Gyr give $B - R_C = 1.6$ and $B - R_C = 1.1$, respectively, at an age of 12 Gyr). We also set the lower limit of z_F to be 2 following the traditional pure luminosity evolution models which assume that elliptical/S0 galaxies and spiral galaxies are formed at high redshifts ($z \gtrsim 2$) and which broadly succeed in reproducing observed properties of these galaxies (e.g., Kodama et al. 1998; Shimasaku and Fukugita 1998).

Figure 6 compares the observed L_B/M_b with predictions. Predicted values of L_B/M_b are normalized to match the observed value at $z = 0$. In other words, models are used to predict relative brightening (or fading) of L_B as a function of z . Panels (a), (b), and (c) are for $z_F = \infty, 3$, and 2, respectively. From panel (a), we find that all the models reproduce the observation. If, however, $z_F = 3$ is adopted (panel [b]), models with $\tau = 1$ and 2 Gyr give too steep brightening compared with the observation. This trend is strengthened for the $z_F = 2$ case (panel [c]): the $\tau = 0.1$ Gyr model also becomes inconsistent with the observation, though the discrepancy is at less than 2σ levels. The allowed range for τ is dependent on z_F , and we cannot rule out any value of τ on the basis of the current data if we permit $z_F = \infty$, though ‘single burst’ models with $\tau = 0.1$ Gyr and ‘disk’ models with $\tau = 5$ (and $\tau = 3$ Gyr models) match the observation for a wider range of z_F toward lower redshifts than the other ($\tau = 1, 2$ Gyr) models.

It is interesting that ‘single burst’ ($\tau = 0.1$ Gyr) models and ‘disk’ ($\tau = 5$ Gyr) models are consistent with the observation. In this paragraph, we concentrate on these models, and examine which are more consistent with the observed luminosity evolution of individual galaxies in clusters. Various observations suggest that elliptical and S0 galaxies in clusters brighten by ~ 1 mag from $z = 0$ to $z = 1$, which is consistent with the single burst model (e.g., Schade, Barrientos, López-Cruz 1997; Ellis et al. 1997; Kodama et al. 1998; van Dokkum et al. 1998). Spiral galaxies have also been found to brighten by ~ 1 mag (e.g., Schade et al. 1996), though observations are not as many as those of elliptical and S0 galaxies. The amounts of brightening of E/S0 and spiral galaxies are similar to each other, and they are in agreement with the predictions of $\tau = 0.1$ Gyr models (with $z_F \geq 3$) and $\tau = 5$ Gyr models. However, our distant clusters are rich clusters and thus it is likely that elliptical and S0 galaxies dominate in these clusters. Hence, the $\tau = 0.1$ Gyr models seem to be more plausible for describing the evolution of L_B/M_b . This is also supported by the fact that the mean color of galaxies in the Coma cluster, which is a very rich nearby cluster and is likely to be a counterpart of the distant clusters studied here, agrees with the color predicted by the $\tau = 0.1$ Gyr models.

Van Dokkum et al. (1998) present observations of M/L ratio in the B band of early-type galaxies in five clusters at $0.02 \leq z \leq 0.83$. They find that the M/L ratio evolves as $\Delta \log M/L_B \propto -0.40z$ ($\Omega_0 = 0.3, \lambda_0 = 0$), which is consistent with single-burst models with $z_F > 1.7-2.8$. If the evolution of M/L found by van Dokkum et al. (1998) is understood as pure luminosity evolution of L_B , the formula $\Delta \log M/L_B \propto -0.40z$ implies that L_B brightens by a factor of 2.5 from $z = 0$ to 1, which is in excellent agreement with the brightening of L_B/M_b found in this study. Measurements of M/L of individual galaxies are a direct measurement of the effects of luminosity evolution occurred in galaxies, while measurements of L_B/M_b of clusters are less direct. Note, however, that information contained in L_B/M_b is different from that in M/L of individual galaxies. The quantity L_B/M_b describes the evolution of luminosity summed over *all* galaxies in clusters. L_B/M_b also gives us a hint to the star formation efficiency in clusters (see below). In any case, the agreement of brightening between M/L_B and L_B/M_b found here can be regarded as indirect support of our conclusion that single-burst like models seem to be plausible for describing the evolution of L_B/M_b .

The absolute value of L_B/M_b tells us a hint to the star formation efficiency in clusters, i.e., the fraction of baryons in clusters used to form stars. If the star formation efficiency differs among clusters, the absolute value of L_B/M_b would also vary from cluster to cluster. The fact that there exist models, such as those with $\tau = 0.1$ Gyr, which reproduce the observed L_B/M_b of many clusters at different redshifts within the observational errors suggest that the star formation efficiency is universal among clusters up to $z \sim 1$.

In order to see when baryons are converted into stars, we plot in figure 7 the predicted evolution of M_*/M_b , where the evolution of M_* is calculated from mass-to-luminosity ratios of galaxies predicted by the $z_F = 3$ models. The values of M_*/M_b at $z = 0$ have been normalized so that they are consistent with the observed L_B/M_b of the nearby clusters¹. The predicted values of M_*/M_b at $z = 0$ are $0.05 - 0.13$, depending on τ , and are consistent with the observed value (the filled square with an error bar). This simply implies that the predicted $(M/L_B)_*$ values at $z = 0$ fall within $(6 \pm 3)h(M/L_B)_\odot$, which is the adopted value of $(M/L_B)_*$ for galaxies in nearby clusters. As expected, the evolution of M_*/M_b largely differs among the models. A constant M_*/M_b is predicted by the $\tau = 0.1$ Gyr model in the redshift range of this figure, while for the $\tau = 5$ Gyr model, half of the stars present today are formed at $z < 1$.

Finally, we mention how to put stronger constraints on models using the evolution of L_B/M_b . Unfortunately, the steepness of brightening up to $z = 1$ is not a monotonic

¹ $M_*/M_b(z=0) = (M/L_B)_*^{\text{pred}}(z=0) \times (L_B/M_b)^{\text{obs}}(z=0)$

function of τ : the brightening is the steepest for $\tau = 1-2$ Gyr models, and models with $\tau = 0.1$ Gyr and $\tau = 3-5$ Gyr give similar brightening. In order to place further constraints using the evolution of L_B/M_b , one needs data at $z > 1$: for example, for $z_F = 3$ and 2, $\tau = 0.1$ Gyr models predict much steeper brightening at $z > 1$ than $\tau = 5$ Gyr models.

3.2. Comparison with Evolution of Field Galaxies

The quantity L_B/M_b is the B -band luminosity per unit baryon in clusters. The corresponding quantity for field galaxies is the ratio of the blue luminosity density l_B [$L_{B\odot} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}$] to the mean baryon density ρ_b [$M_\odot \text{ Mpc}^{-3}$]. In this subsection, we derive l_B/ρ_b of field galaxies up to $z \sim 1$ from the literature and compare it with L_B/M_b of clusters.

Data of l_B are taken (or computed) from recent measurements of luminosity function based on redshift surveys: Lilly et al. (1996; CFRS; data points are at $z = 0.35, 0.625, 0.875$), Ellis et al. (1996; Autofib; $z = 0.085, 0.25, 0.55$), Colless (1998; 2dF; $z = 0.11$), Loveday et al. (1992; APM; $z \simeq 0.05$), Zucca et al. (1997; ESP; $z \simeq 0.1$), and Marzke et al. (1998; SSRS2; $z \simeq 0.025$). Lilly et al. (1996) give l_B itself while the other papers give only luminosity functions in the B band. For those except for Lilly et al. (1996), we integrate the luminosity function given in each paper from $M_B = -25$ to -10 to obtain l_B .

In order to compute ρ_b , we adopt $\Omega_b = 0.02h^{-2}$ following Tytler and his coworkers' results (e.g., Burles, Tytler 1998). Their estimates of Ω_b are based on measurements of deuterium abundance (D/H) of QSO absorption systems at high redshifts. Note that the measurements of Ω_b have not completely converged among authors, ranging from $\Omega_b h^2 \simeq 0.01$ to 0.02 , though Tytler et al.'s measurements seem to be the most reliable (e.g., Turner 1999).

The l_B/ρ_b of field galaxies calculated above are plotted in figure 8 as open circles with error bars. A gradual increase in l_B/ρ_b with redshift is seen. This is due to the brightening of l_B ².

A fact which needs attention is that the values of l_B/ρ_b at $z \lesssim 0.05$ are smaller than those at $z \simeq 0.1$ by as large as a factor of $\simeq 2$. It is unlikely that the luminosity density evolves so rapidly for such a short time from $z = 0.1$ to the present. A possible explanation for this problem is that the number density of galaxies in the local ($z \lesssim 0.1$) universe happens to be lower than the global value (e.g., Marzke et al. 1998), though further investigations are needed in order to prove or disprove this explanation. In

this paper, we regard the values at $z \simeq 0.1$ as the local ($z = 0$) value.

3.2.1. Local values for L_B/M_b and l_B/ρ_b

The filled circles in figure 8 indicate the L_B/M_b of the distant clusters of galaxies. The filled square at $z = 0$ corresponds to the average of the nearby clusters. We find that the local L_B/M_b agrees with the local l_B/ρ_b : L_B/M_b is $(0.024 \pm 0.004)(L_B/M)_\odot$ and the average of the three l_B/ρ_b values at $z \simeq 0.1$ is $(0.026 \pm 0.002)(L_B/M)_\odot$ ³.

This agreement implies that the blue luminosity per unit baryon mass is very close between clusters and fields. Then the next question may be whether the stellar mass per unit baryon mass (M_*/M_b), i.e., the star formation efficiency, is the same between clusters and fields (Note that morphological type mix largely differs between clusters and fields and that $(M/L_B)_*$ of galaxies varies with morphology). In order to examine this, we do a simple (but more detailed than that given in §2.1) estimation of M_*/M_b of clusters and fields below. We assume the $(M/L_B)_*$ of elliptical and S0 galaxies to be $8h(M/L_B)_\odot$ and that of spiral and irregular galaxies to be $4h(M/L_B)_\odot$ ⁴. Using these values and taking account of the mean morphological type mix of the 12 clusters, we obtain $M_*/M_b = 0.114 \pm 0.020$. A similar calculation for the field galaxies gives $M_*/M_b = 0.097 \pm 0.009$ (We use the type mix given in Colless 1998: $l_B(\text{E} + \text{S0})/l_B(\text{tot}) = 34.5\%$ and $l_B(\text{S} + \text{Irr})/l_B(\text{tot}) = 65.5\%$). Hence, the M_*/M_b of clusters is in agreement with that in fields within errors. Renzini (1997) has also obtained a similar result from a rough calculation for M_*/M_b of the Coma cluster and field galaxies.

3.2.2. Evolution of L_B/M_b and l_B/ρ_b

From figure 8, it is found that the evolution of L_B/M_b as a function of redshift is the same as for l_B/ρ_b within the observational errors. Both 'brighten' by a factor of $2-3$ from $z = 0$ to $z = 1$.

The agreement between the evolution of L_B/M_b and l_B/ρ_b may be interpreted as the mean star formation history of cluster galaxies being similar to that of field galaxies. However, we think that this agreement is probably superficial. The observed global star formation rate of field galaxies increases by a factor of $\sim 3-10$ from

2 For field galaxies, the global star formation rate ($\dot{\rho}_* [M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}]$) has also been measured from UV and emission-line luminosities of galaxies (e.g., Madau et al. 1998; for recent observations, see Cowie, Songaila, Barger 1999). These measurements suggest that $\dot{\rho}_*$ increases by a factor of $\sim 3-10$ from $z = 0$ to 1, but the scatter among the data is still large.

3 A note should be added. The agreement found here does not hold if very different values for Ω_b and h are adopted, because (i) l_B/ρ_b changes linearly with Ω_b^{-1} and (ii) the dependence of l_B/ρ_b and L_B/M_b on h is different ($l_B/\rho_b \propto h$ if $\Omega_b h^2$ is fixed, while $L_B/M_b \propto h^{0.5}$), though we think that the values of Ω_b and h adopted in this paper are the most probable at present.

4 The value for elliptical and S0 galaxies is based on van der Marel's (1991) study, which found that the M/L_B of elliptical galaxies with $1 \times 10^{10} h^{-2} L_{B\odot}$ is $8.4h(M/L_B)_\odot$. The value for the Galactic disk, $M/L_B \simeq 3(M/L_B)_\odot$, is adopted as the value for spiral and irregular galaxies ($4h \simeq 3$ for $h = 0.7$).

the present epoch to $z = 1$, and then has a peak at $z = 1 - 2$ (e.g., Madau et al. 1998; Cowie et al. 1999). Though this star formation history, which reproduces the observed evolution of l_B/ρ_b as well, should be a solution for the mean star formation history of cluster galaxies, quite different models can also be solutions, as has been seen in §3.1. Simple models having just two parameters (τ and z_F) were examined in §3.1, and many models have been found to reproduce the observed evolution of L_B/M_b , and the color of galaxies in nearby rich clusters suggests that $\tau = 0.1$ Gyr models are favored (see §3.1).

In any case, we cannot give a clear conclusion about the mean star formation history of cluster galaxies on the basis of the current data. As was mentioned in §3.1, data at $z > 1$ are useful to place further constraints on the star formation history. More desirable may be data of ultraviolet luminosity per unit baryon mass, L_{UV}/M_b , from which one can measure star formation rate (and efficiency) directly.

4. Conclusions

We have derived L_B/M_b for massive (M_{gas} at the Abell radius is $\geq 1 \times 10^{13} h^{-2.5} M_\odot$) clusters of galaxies up to $z \simeq 1$ from optical and X-ray data in the literature. Twenty-two clusters in our sample are at $z > 0.1$. Assuming that the relative mix of hot gas and galaxies in clusters does not change (i.e., no segregation in hot gas or galaxies) during cluster evolution, we use L_B/M_b to probe the star formation history of the galaxy population as a whole in clusters. We have found that the L_B/M_b of clusters increases with redshift from $L_B/M_b = 0.024(L_B/M)_\odot$ ($z = 0$) to $\simeq 0.06(L_B/M)_\odot$ ($z = 1$), indicating a factor of $\sim 2 - 3$ brightening. This amount of brightening is almost identical to the brightening of the M/L_B ratio of early-type galaxies in clusters at $0.02 \leq z \leq 0.83$ reported by van Dokkum et al. (1998).

We have compared this result with luminosity evolution models for the galaxy population as a whole by changing the e -folding time of star formation τ by $0.1 \leq \tau \leq 5$ Gyr and the formation redshift z_F by $2 \leq z_F < \infty$. We have found that ‘single burst’ models ($\tau = 0.1$ Gyr models) with $z_F \geq 3$ and ‘disk’ models ($\tau = 5$ Gyr) with arbitrary z_F are consistent with the observed brightening of blue luminosity to $z = 1$, while models with $1 \leq \tau \leq 2$ Gyr tend to predict too steep brightening though we cannot rule out these models.

We have also derived the ratio of blue luminosity density to baryon density, l_B/ρ_b , for field galaxies up to $z \simeq 1$ from various existing data, adopting $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.02$, and have found that the observed evolution of L_B/M_b agrees with that of l_B/ρ_b , including the absolute values, from the present epoch to $z \simeq 1$ within the observational uncertainties, indicating that blue luminosity per unit baryon mass is similar between clusters and fields up to $z \simeq 1$.

We have made a simple estimate of star formation efficiency ($M_\star/M_b$) to find no difference between clusters and fields. To place further constraints on the mean star formation history of cluster galaxies needs new data at higher redshifts or direct measurements of star formation rate.

Acknowledgements

We thank the anonymous referee for useful comments which improved the paper. This research was supported in part by the Grants-in-Aid by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan (07CE2002) to RESCEU (Research Center for the Early Universe).

References

- Andreon, S. 1996, A&A 314, 763
- Arnaud, M., Rothenflug, R., Boulade, O., Vigroux, L., Vangioni-Flam, E. 1992, A&A 254, 49
- Bahcall, J. N. 1984, ApJ 287, 926
- Balogh, M., Schade, D., Morris, S. L., Yee, H. K. C., Carlberg, R. G., Ellingson, E. 1998, ApJ 504, L75
- Benitez, N., Broadhurst, T., Rosati, P., Courbin, F., Squires, G., Lidman, C., Magain, P. 1998, astro-ph/9812218
- Broeils, A. H., Couteau, S. 1996, in *Dark and Visible Matter in Galaxies*, ASP Conference Series, Vol. 117; 1997; ed. M. Persic and P. Salucci, p74
- Burles, S., Tytler, D. 1998, ApJ 507, 732
- Carlberg, R. G., Yee, H. K. C., Ellingson, E., Abraham, R., Gravel, P., Morris, S., Pritchet, C. J. 1996, ApJ 462, 32
- Cirimele, G., Nesci, R., Trèvese, D. 1997, ApJ 475, 11
- Colless, M. 1998, astro-ph/9804079
- Cowie, L. L., Songaila, A., Barger, A. J. 1999, astro-ph/9904345
- Dressler, A., Oemler, A., Jr., Couch, W. J., Smail, I., Ellis, R. S., Barger, A., Butcher, H., Poggianti, B. M., et al. 1997, ApJ 490, 577
- Ellis, R. S., Colless, M., Broadhurst, T., Hely, J., Glazebrook, K. 1996, MNRAS 280, 235
- Ellis, R. S., Smail, I., Dressler, A., Couch, W. J., Oemler, A., Jr., Butcher, H., Sharples, R. M. 1997, ApJ 483, 582
- Fischer, P., Tyson, A. J. 1997, AJ 114, 14
- Freedman, W. L. 1999, astro-ph/9905222
- Fukugita, M., Shimasaku, K., Ichikawa, T. 1995, PASP 107, 945
- Hattori, M., Ikebe, Y., Asaoka, I., Takeshima, T., Böhringer, H., Mihara, T., Neumann, D. M., Schindler, S., Tsuru, T., Tamura, T. 1997, Nature 388, 146
- Infante, L., Fouque, P., Hertling, G., Way, M. J., Giraud, E., Quintana, H. 1994, A&A 289, 381
- Kodama, T., Arimoto, N. 1997, A&A 320, 41

- Kodama, T., Arimoto, N., Barger, A. J., Aragón-Salamanca, A. 1998, *A&A* 334, 99
- Lewis, A. D., Ellingson, E., Morris, S. L., Carlberg, R. G. 1999, *ApJ* 517, 587
- Lilly, S. J., Le Fèvre, O., Hammer, F., Crampton, D. 1996, *ApJ* 460, L1
- Lilly, S. J., Schade, D., Ellis, R., Le Fèvre, O., Brinchmann, J., Tresse, L., Abraham, R., Hammer, F., et al. 1998, *ApJ* 500, 75
- Loveday, J., Peterson, B. A., Efstathiou, G., Maddox, S. J. 1992, *ApJ* 390, 338
- Madau, P., Pozzetti, L., Dickinson, M. 1998, *ApJ* 498, 106
- Marzke, R. O., da Costa, L. N., Pellegrini, P. S., Willmer, C. N. A., Geller, M. J. 1998, *ApJ* 503, 617
- Neumann, D. M., Böhringer, H. 1997, *MNRAS* 289, 123
- Renzini A. 1997, *ApJ* 488, 35
- Pizzella, A., Amico, P., Bertola, F., Buson, L. M., Danziger, I. J., Dejonghe, H., Sadler, E. M., Saglia, R. P., de Zeeuw, P. T., & Zeilinger, W. W. 1997, *A&A* 323, 349
- Poggianti, B. M., Smail, I., Dressler, A., Couch, W. J., Barger, A., Butcher, H., Ellis, R. S., Oemler, A., Jr., 1999, *ApJ* 518, 576
- Sahu, K. C., Shaw, R. A., Kaiser, M. E., Baum, S. A., Ferguson, H. C., Hayes, J. J. E., Gull, T. R., Hill, R. J., Hutchings, J. B., Kimble, R. A., Plait, P., & Woodgate, B. E. 1998, *ApJ* 492, L125
- Schade, D., Carlberg, R. G., Yee, H. K. C., López-Cruz, O., Ellingson, E. 1996, *ApJ* 465, L103
- Schade, D., Barrientos, L. F., López-Cruz, O. 1997, *ApJ* 477, L17
- Schindler, S. 1999, astro-ph/9908130
- Schindler, S., Belloni, P., Ikebe, Y., Hattori, M., Wambsganss, J., Tanaka, Y. 1998, *A&A* 338, 843
- Schindler, S., Wambsganss, J. 1997, *A&A* 322, 66
- Shimasaku, K., Fukugita, M. 1998, *ApJ* 501, 578
- Squires, G., Kaiser, N., Babul, A., Fahlman, G., Woods, D., Neumann, D. M., Böhringer, H. 1996, *ApJ* 461, 572
- Squires, G., Neumann, D. M., Kaiser, N., Arnaud, M., Babul, A., Böhringer, H., Fahlman, G., Woods, D. 1997, *ApJ* 482, 648
- Taguchi, H., Shimasaku, K., Doi, M., Okamura, S. 1999, in preparation
- Turner, M. S. 1999, astro-ph/9904051
- van der Marel, R. P. 1991, *MNRAS* 253, 710
- van Dokkum, P. G., Franx, M., Kelson, D. D., Illingworth, G. D. 1998, *ApJ* 504, L17
- Vogt, N. P., Phillips, A. C., Faber, S. M., Gallego, J., Gronwall, C., Guzmán, R., Illingworth, D., Koo, D. C., & Lowenthal, J. D. 1997, *ApJ* 479, L121
- Young, C. K., Currie, M. J. 1998, *A&AS* 127, 367
- Zucca, E., Zamorani, G., Vettolani, G., Cappi, A., Merighi, R., Mignoli, M., Stirpe, G. M., MacGillivray, H., et al. 1997, *A&A* 326, 477

Table 1. Distant Clusters.

name	z	$L_B/M_b^a)$	$L_B^b)$	$M_{\text{gas}}^c)$	radius ^{d)}	error ^{e)}
A1413	0.14	0.028	7.6	2.42	0.77	21
A1689	0.18	0.042	14.0	2.80	0.78	21
A2218	0.18	0.043	8.4	1.81	0.42	33
A2163	0.20	0.037	3.0	0.74	0.26	48
CL0500-24	0.32	0.037	3.9	0.95	0.53	30
CL0939+47	0.41	0.089	1.8	0.18	0.14	—
RXJ1347.5-1145	0.45	0.053	65.7	11.3	1.09	37
CL0016+16	0.55	0.044	50.6	10.4	1.67	—
AXJ2019+1127	1.01	0.075	5.6	0.68	0.30	30
A2390	0.23	0.030	11.3	3.3	0.60	—
MS0302+16	0.42	0.055	5.1	0.84	0.60	—
MS0440+02	0.20	0.027	2.5	0.84	0.60	—
MS0451+02	0.20	0.021	6.3	2.7	0.60	—
MS0451-03	0.54	0.036	14.7	3.7	0.60	—
MS0839+29	0.19	0.054	4.5	0.74	0.60	—
MS0906+11	0.17	0.066	9.2	1.3	0.60	—
MS1006+12	0.26	0.028	6.4	2.0	0.60	—
MS1008-12	0.31	0.051	11.2	2.0	0.60	—
MS1224+20	0.33	0.066	7.1	0.98	0.60	—
MS1358+62	0.33	0.062	11.4	1.7	0.60	—
MS1455+22	0.26	0.021	4.9	2.1	0.60	—
MS1512+36	0.37	0.028	4.2	1.4	0.60	—

a) In units of $h^{0.5}(L_B/M)_\odot$.b) In units of $h^{-2} \times 10^{11} L_\odot$.c) In units of $h^{-2.5} \times 10^{13} M_\odot$.d) Radius in units of h^{-1} Mpc adopted to measure L_B and M_{gas} .

e) Relative error (%).

Figure Captions

Fig.1. L_V plotted against M_{gas} for Arnaud et al.'s (1992) nearby clusters. The filled and open circles indicate clusters with and without type mix data, respectively. The dashed and solid lines indicate the best fit of a power law to all and massive ($M_{\text{gas}} \geq 1 \times 10^{13} h^{-2.5} M_{\odot}$) clusters, respectively. The dotted line corresponds to the best fit of $L_V \propto M_{\text{gas}}$ to the massive clusters.

Fig.2. L_V/M_{gas} plotted against the fraction of luminosity emitted from elliptical and S0 galaxies to the total luminosity $L_V(\text{E/S0})/L_V$ for Arnaud et al.'s (1992) clusters having type mix data. The filled and open circles indicate $M_{\text{gas}} \geq 1 \times 10^{13} h^{-2.5} M_{\odot}$ and $M_{\text{gas}} < 1 \times 10^{13} h^{-2.5} M_{\odot}$ clusters, respectively.

Fig.3. L_B/M_b as a function of M_{gas} for Arnaud et al.'s (1992) clusters. The filled and open circles indicate clusters with and without type mix data, respectively.

Fig.4. L_B versus M_{gas} for the 12 nearby and the 22 distant clusters adopted in this paper. Filled circles indicate the nearby clusters. Open circles and crosses are for $0.1 < z \leq 0.4$ and $0.4 < z \leq 0.7$ clusters, respectively. The star corresponds to the most distant cluster AXJ2019+1127 at $z = 1.01$. The thick solid line indicates the best fit of $L_B \propto M_{\text{gas}}$ to the nearby clusters. The thin solid line and the dotted line correspond to a similar fit to the distant clusters at $0.1 < z \leq 0.4$ and $0.4 < z \leq 0.7$, respectively.

Fig.5. Dependence of the measurement of L_B/M_{gas} on Ω_0 and λ_0 . The ratio of $L_B/M_{\text{gas}}(\Omega_0, \lambda_0)$ to $L_B/M_{\text{gas}}(0.2, 0)$ is plotted as a function of redshift for $(\Omega_0, \lambda_0) = (1, 0)$ and $(0.2, 0.8)$ cases.

Fig.6. Observed L_B/M_b of clusters as a function of redshift. The filled circles present the 22 distant clusters and the filled square indicates the average L_B/M_b of the nearby clusters. Model predictions are overlaid. Predicted values of L_B/M_b are normalized to match the observed value at $z = 0$. Panels (a), (b), and (c) are for $z_F = \infty, 3$, and 2 , respectively. Thick and thin solid lines indicate models with $\tau = 0.1$ and 1 Gyr, respectively. Dotted, dashed, and long-dashed lines correspond to models with $\tau = 2, 3$, and 5 Gyr, respectively.

Fig.7. The ratio of stellar mass to baryon mass, plotted as a function of redshift. The five lines indicate predictions of models with different τ . All models are for $z_F = 3$. The meanings of lines are the same as in figure 6. The filled square corresponds to the observed value.

Fig.8. l_B/ρ_b of field galaxies as a function of redshift, compared with L_B/M_b of clusters. The filled circles indi-

cate the 22 distant clusters and the filled square is for the average L_B/M_b of the nearby clusters. The open circles with error bars correspond to l_B/ρ_b of field galaxies.



















